

The Potential Of Using SMR Reactors At Coal-Fired Power Plant Locations

Jan Lokar

GEN energija, d.o.o. Vrbina 17 8270, Krško, Slovenia jan.lokar@gen-energija.si

Aleš Kelhar, dr. Robert Bergant

GEN energija, d.o.o. Vrbina 17 8270, Krško, Slovenia ales.kelhar@gen-energija.si, robert.bergant@gen-energija.si

ABSTRACT

Coal is responsible for the largest share of carbon dioxide emissions from the energy sector, making its phase-out key to tackling climate change. On the other hand, the International Panel of Climate Change (IPCC) considered 90 pathways with emission reductions to limit average global warming to less than 1,5 °C. The IPCC found that on average, the pathways for the 1,5 °C would require installed nuclear capacity to reach 1.160 GW by 2050, up from 394 GW in 2020. This growing role for nuclear power will complement variable renewables, which are set to rapidly grow in all climate mitigation pathways. The ambitious target to triple installed nuclear power until 2050 can be achieved with long-term operation of existing plants, building large-scale Generation III/III+ new builds and with deployment of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs).

The development of SMR reactors is dynamic and, in the future, the SMRs can play important role in the low carbon energy policies in the world. Many countries aim to abandon the use of fossil fuels for electrical energy production, particularly coal-fired power plants. The location, infrastructure and employees in coal-fired power plants have the potential for restructuring and deployment of SMR reactors.

In recent years, several studies have analyzed the possibility of implementing SMR reactors at coal-fired thermal power plant locations.

The first part of the article provides a brief overview of SMR development and the recent coal-to-nuclear projects and studies. The second part of the article represents the overview of coal-fired power plant systems and buildings that may be applicable to SMR power plants and discusses potential benefits of coal-to-nuclear projects and challenges to be addressed in the near future. The last part of the article analyses the options for deploying SMR reactors on the sites of Slovenian coal-fired thermal power plants.

1 INTRODUCTION

Coal constitutes the largest contributor to global electricity generation, accounting for approximately 35 % of the total power produced. With its substantial footprint, coal-based electricity is the foremost emitter of carbon dioxide, releasing a staggering 12 GtCO₂ annually. The existing coal-powered plants boast an installed capacity of 1,9 TWe, primarily

concentrated in key regions such as China, India, the USA, and Japan. These four nations collectively hold over 65 % of the world's coal-generated capacity. Recent trends reveal a global increase in coal-based generation, predominantly driven by China and India, even as the US and Europe witness a decline [1].

In alignment with the International Energy Agency (IEA), the pursuit of net-zero emissions necessitates a complete cessation of coal-based generation on a global scale by 2040. A substantial portion of the coal infrastructure is already subject to net-zero policies.

The progression of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) remains a highly dynamic field, commanding considerable attention across diverse public sectors due to its potential for innovation, prospects for development, educational significance, and avenues for entrepreneurial endeavours.

Recent years have witnessed the emergence of several feasibility studies exploring the viability of integrating small modular reactors into the sites of coal-fired thermal power plants, aligning with energy policies. This article aims to delve into the evolution of small modular reactors, providing an overview of ongoing projects aimed at replacing coal-fired thermal power plants. Furthermore, it will delve into the feasibility of substituting coal-fired thermal power plants in Slovenia with SMR reactors.

2 DEVELOPMENT OF SMR TECHNOLOGY

SMRs are powering innovation across many industries and bringing new opportunities. The unique attributes of SMRs in terms of expected efficiency, flexibility and economics, as well as reduced needs for emergency planning due to inherent safety features, may position them to play a key role in the transition to clean energy. In this respect, the nuclear community is pursuing innovation in technology, market design, financing, regulation and project delivery. There are over 80 proposed designs for SMRs worldwide reflecting both the enthusiasm around their potential, and the wide spectrum of possible nuclear reactor technologies [2].

According to the IAEA data from 2022 [3], there are two SMR reactors in commercial operation, Chinese HTR-PM (HTGR reactor) and Russian KLTS-40S (floating PWR reactor) and two more demonstration HTGR reactors (HTTR and HTR-10). Three more SMRs are under construction, Argentinian demonstration land based PWR reactor CAREM, Chinese land based PWR reactor ACP100 and Russian BREST-OD-300 LMFR reactor. Another 66 designs are in the several design phases.

_	450	Westinghouse LFR	Rolls Royce SMR		Land WCR Marine WCR HTGR LMFR MSR Microreactor	
nodule	200	SSR-W, CANDU-SMR, IMR	VBER-300, GTHTR300	BREST-OD-300		
e per n	300	ThorCon, LFTR, EM ² , LFR-AS-200, MHR-T, SC- HTGR	WestinghouseSMR, GT-MHR, BWRX-300, CAP200, FUJI, VK-300			
y [MW	200	smTMSR-400, mPower, i-SMR, NUWARD, KP- FHR, Mk1 Pb-FHR, KARAT-100, CMSR	IMSR, PBMR-400, SMR-160, DHR400, ACP100S, ARC-100, SMART, SVBR	ACP100	HTR-PM	
capacit	100	MHR-100, BANDI-60, FMR, MCSFR, SEALER- 55	AHTR-100, Xe-100, NHR200-II, HAPPY200, RITM- 200N, ACPR50S, VOYGR, RITM-200M	 		
utput	50	TEPLATOR, KARAT-45, THORIZON, LFR-TL-30, RUTA-70	HTMR100, CA WB	CAREM	KLT-40S	
0	25	Energy Well, RDE, UNITHERM, microURANUS, STARCORE, AMR, U-Battery, eVinci, ELENA, MoveluX	SSR-U, OPEN20, STAR, 4S, HOLOS-QUAD, SHELF- M, ABV-6E, JIMMY, AURORA, MMR, MARVEL	i i	HTTR, HTR-10	
		Pre-conceptual/Conceptual design	Basic/Detailed design	Under construction	In operation	

Figure 1: Stage of design/Deployment of SMRs in terms of their output capacity [3]

Water-cooled technology is the most widely used technology of nuclear reactors. Currently, over 90% of operating and under-construction nuclear reactors are water-cooled reactors, with the majority of them utilizing Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) technology. Water-cooled technology is also expected to dominate the landscape of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs). Refer to Figure 2 for a projected timeline outlining the development and deployment of the most promising water-cooled SMR designs.



Figure 2: Projected timeline outlining the development and deployment of FOAK water-cooled SMR designs

3 RECENT COAL-TO-NUCLEAR PROJECTS AND STUDIES

Several feasibility studies [4], [6] and [7] have been conducted to explore the potential deployment of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) at sites currently occupied by coal-fired thermal power plants. Given the current prominence of discussions around transitioning from coal to nuclear energy, an increase in anticipated studies and projects is on the horizon. Notably, Romania and the USA are at the forefront of advancements in repurposing coal-fired thermal power plants for the integration of SMRs.

3.1 Romania coal-to-nuclear project Doicesti

In early 2021, United States Trade and Development Agency (USTDA) awarded a grant to Romanian Nuclearelectrica to conduct a study to identify and assess several sites across Romania, including locations where existing coal-fired power plants could be replaced with SMRs. In 2022, according to NuScale power, the study, performed by US firm Sargent & Lundy, has identified several potential suitable sites including Doiceşti, which Nuclearelectrica has determined to be the preferred location [4].

The Doicesti Power station was a large thermal power plant with 8 generation units, 6 of 20 MW each and 2 of 200 MWe each. It used lignite as a main fuel supplemented by Natural gas. All of the units are already decommissioned.

Doicești location was selected to implement NuScale Power VOYGR-6 model of a nuclear power plant that will deploy 6 SMRs of 77 MW each with a total capacity of 462 MWe. For this project Nuclearelectrica formed a new company RoPower, with equal shares with the plant owner Nova Power&Gas. RoPower signed the contract with NuScale Power for phase 1 of front-end engineering and design [5].

3.2 USA coal-to-nuclear feasibility study

In 2022 the study with title Investigating benefits and challenges of converting retiring coal plants into nuclear plants was carried out by the Argonne, Idaho and Oak Ridge National Laboratories, sponsored by the DOE Office of Nuclear Energy [6]. The study evaluated the siting characteristics of recently retired and those operating coal-fired power plant sites. The study team estimates that 80 % of retired and operating coal power plant sites that were evaluated have the basic characteristics needed to be considered amenable to host an advanced nuclear reactor. For the recently retired plant sites evaluated, this represents a capacity potential of 64,8 GWe to be backfit at 125 sites. For the operating plant sites evaluated, this represents a capacity potential of 198,5 GWe to be backfit at 190 sites.

The study assesses a specific scenario involving the comprehensive repercussions and potential outcomes of transitioning from coal to nuclear energy. Taking into account the range of nuclear technology options and capacities considered for replacing a sizable 1.200 MWe coal plant at the designated site, the capital costs associated with nuclear facilities could experience a reduction of 15% to 35%. This reduction is in comparison to undertaking an entirely new construction project, attributed to the repurposing of existing infrastructure from the coal plant.

In the examined case study, where coal capacity is replaced with a 924 MWe nuclear capacity, the research team discovered that there is a potential for regional economic activity to rise by up to \$275 million. Furthermore, this transition could result in the creation of around 650 new permanent jobs within the analyzed region.

4 THE OVERVIEW OF COAL-FIRED POWER PLANT SYSTEMS AND BUILDINGS THAT MAY BE APPLICABLE TO SMR POWER PLANTS

The basic idea of coal-to-nuclear transformation is to transform coal-fired thermal power plants to nuclear ones and using as much of the existing infrastructure (cooling towers, turbogenerators, grid lines, etc.) as well as the existing workforce of well-trained engineers, practitioners and other staff that is needed to operate the plant. The schematic overview of coal-to-nuclear transformation is presented in figure 3.



Figure 3: Schematic overview of coal-to-nuclear transformation [7]



Figure 4 represents coal power plant systems that can be potentially reused for SMRs.

Figure 4: Coal-fired thermal power plant systems that can be potentially reused for SMRs [8]

In addition to the existing systems of a coal-fired thermal power plant, the transition from coal to nuclear energy can also make use of water permits, the pre-existing transmission lines, roads, and, most importantly, the workforce that can be adequately retrained for employment in a nuclear power plant. This retrained workforce would encompass various roles such as O&M staff, engineering, project management, support staff, and more.

Bipartisan Policy Center, the organization which aims to combine ideas from both the Republican and Democratic parties to address challenges in the U.S. such as those around energy and the national debt, [9] analyses the benefits and challenges of coal-to-nuclear transition and highlights recent legislation that may hasten such a transition. In table 1 the potential benefits of coal-to-nuclear projects and challenges to address are described.

Table 1: Potential benefits and challenges to address of coal-to-nuclear projects [9]

Potential benefits		Cł	Challenges to address		
•	Nuclear energy provides firm, dispatchable clean energy, 77 % of coal plant jobs are	•	Coal plant retirement and SMR operation dates must be aligned for smooth workforce transmission.		
•	transferable to nuclear plants. Net increase of more than 650 jobs in regions where SMRs repower retiring	•	Licensing and technology infancy create uncertainties for SMR construction timelines.		
•	coal plants. Jobs at nuclear plants provide higher wages.	•	23 % of coal plant positions require extensive retraining or licensing. Coal plant equipment reutilization is		
•	SMR scan reuse coal infrastructure, Repowering of coal plants can reduce SMR construction costs up to 35 %	•	limited, Public acceptance of new nuclear power plants deployment		

5 THE POTENTIAL FOR DEPLOYMENT OF SMR REACTORS ON THE SITES OF SLOVENIAN COAL-FIRED THERMAL POWER PLANTS

Slovenia is presently heavily dependent on coal-fired power plants, which contribute to around one-third of the country's electricity supply. In line with principles of a just transition, the Slovenian government has embraced the National Strategy for a Coal Exit and the Restructuring of Coal Regions [10], with a clear target of achieving a complete coal phaseout by 2033. Consequently, Slovenia faces the task of finding alternative technologies to replace both coal-based electricity and heat production. Among the potential alternatives, Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) stand out as a viable option. Currently, there are four thermal power plants in Slovenia:

- Šoštanj Thermal Power Plant (TEŠ): Utilizes coal-fired generation along with supplementary gas turbines, currently operational.
- Trbovlje Thermal Power Plant (TET): Operated with a combination of coal-fired and additional gas turbines, currently shut down.
- Toplarna Ljubljana Thermal Power Plant (TE-TOL): Operates using a mix of coal, biomass, and supplementary gas and steam units, currently operational.
- Brestanica Thermal Power Plant (TEB): Operates as a gas-fired facility, currently operational."

Figure 5 illustrates a map of Slovenia's electric power transmission network and coalfired thermal power plant locations.



Figure 5: Slovenia's electric power transmission network and coal-fired thermal power plant locations

Table 2 presents general information about Slovenia's coal-fired thermal power plants and their respective locations.

	Šoštanj thermal power	Trbovlje thermal power	Toplarna Ljubljana			
	plant	plant	thermal power plant			
	Unit 1: 30 MWe	Unit 1: 12,5 MWe	Unit 1: 42 MWe			
	Unit 2: 30 MWe	Unit 2: 24 MWe	Unit 2: 32 MWe			
	Unit 3: 75 MWe	Unit 3: 20 MWe	Unit 3: 50 MWe			
Capacity	Unit 4: 275 MWe	Unit 4: 125 MWe	Gas and steam unit:			
Capacity	Unit 5: 345 MWe	Gas unit 1: 29 MWe	139 MWe			
	Unit 6: 600 MWe	Gas unit 2: 29 MWe				
	Gas unit 1: 42 MWe					
	Gas unit 2: 42 MWe					
Fuel:	Brown coal (lignite)	Brown coal	Brown coal/Biomass			
Annual	~3 TWh	/ (shutdown)	~0,4 TWh			
electricity						
production:						
Cogeneration	yes	no	yes			
Cooling	Cooling tower	Sava River	Ljubljanica River			
0	RTP Podlog 400 kV	110 kV Transmission	RTP Beričevo 110 kV			
o : I	3	line	_			
Grid		Dislocated form 400				
connection		kV switchvard and				
		transmission line				
	Suitability of loca	tion for SMR deployme	nt			
	RTP Podlog	110 kV; new	RTP Beričevo			
Grid	400/220/110 kV: new	Switchvard	400/220/110 kV: new			
connection	Switchvard	Dislocated form 400	Switchvard			
	-	kV Transmission line	_			
Proximity to	Paka River	Sava River	Liublianica River			
water			, ,			
	Out of all the existing thermal power plants. only the Brestanica Thermal					
Dealerin	Power Plant is suitable f	or immediate use as back	kup power supply for the			
васк-ир	nuclear power plant. In the case of all other existing thermal power plants.					
power supply	technological adjustments would be necessary to enable the immediate					
	start of the thermal power plant without external voltage.					
	Location is situated in	Location is in a narrow	Location is situated in			
	Šoštanj town. The area	valley, where is not	Ljubljana. All the areas			
	along the Paka River is	possible to find large	in the immediate			
	densely populated.	area of plain. The area,	vicinity of TE-TOL are			
	North of the Šoštanj is	which is situated 1000	built up. The area,			
Location	mining area, on the	meters away from the	which is situated 1000			
	south part the terrain	potential center of the	meters away from the			
	rises steeply. East and	future reactor building,	potential center of the			
	west of the TES the	does not encompass	future reactor building,			
	area is densely built up.	any existing residential	encompass existing			
		settlements.	residential settlements			
	Mining area	Narrow valley	Beričevo (confluence			
Site specifics	<u> </u>	-	of the Kamniška			
•			Bistrica and Sava)			
	The general support for nuclear energy in Slovenia is high and is increasing					
Public	from every year. All three mentioned locations represent new nuclear sites,					
engagement	so in the case of a decision to build SMRs, it would be essential to establish					
	immediate collaboration with the local community					

Table 2: general information about Slovenia's coal-fired thermal power plants and their respective locations

6 CONCLUSION

The development of SMRs is dynamic, with numerous technology providers. The technologies utilized are often derivatives of previously tested concepts. On the other hand, while providers make high promises, the progress made in the last decade has yet to convince potential investors.

A shared characteristic of worldwide energy policies involves the abandonment of fossil fuels and the decarbonization of electricity production. Substituting coal-fired thermal power plants with SMR power plants holds substantial potential for advancing decarbonization. Despite strengths, several challenges must be effectively addressed in the future.

Slovenia currently operates three coal-fired thermal power plants, two of them are operational, while one is permanent shutdown. Slovenia's energy policy outlines a coal phaseout by 2033. When considering the replacement of coal-fired thermal power plants with SMR reactors, undertaking a comprehensive feasibility study becomes necessary. Moreover, conducting additional assessments of potential locations (including evaluations of seismic, geological, and flood safety factors), as well as adapting and enhancing the existing infrastructure and facilitating the required workforce transformation, would all be essential. Collaborating with the public also emerges as a necessary component in accomplishing a successful energy transition of this nature.

REFERENCES

- [1] https://globalenergymonitor.org/projects/global-coal-plant-tracker/
- [2] IAEA, Small Modular Reactors: A new nuclear energy paradigm, 2022
- [3] IAEA, Advances in Small Modular Reactor Technology Developments, 2022
- [4] https://www.nuclearelectrica.ro/project-development-activities/project-for-the-smallmodular-reactors
- [5] https://energyindustryreview.com/power/first-small-modular-reactor-in-romania-to-beinstalled-in-doicesti/
- [6] Idaho and Oak Ridge National Laboratories, Investigating benefits and challenges of converting retiring coal plants into nuclear plants, 2022
- [7] Nils Haneklaus, Staffan Qvist, Paweł Gładysz, Łukasz Bartela, Why coal-fired power plants should get nuclear-ready, Energy, Volume 280, 2023
- [8] https://iaea.mediasite.com/Mediasite/Play/94f2ba12bfd243adad43161c488c95c51d
- [9] Bipartisan Policy Center, Can Advanced Nuclear Repower Coal Country, 2023
- [10] Ministrstvo za infrastrukturo, Nacionalna strategija za izstop iz premoga in prestrukturiranje premogovnih regij v skladu z načeli pravičnega prehoda, 2021